

CLIFTON TO TANGOIO COASTAL HAZARDS STRATEGY 2120

MINUTES OF THE SOUTHERN CELL ASSESSMENT PANEL WORKSHOP 11 HELD AT THE HB REGIONAL COUNCIL, DALTON ST, NAPIER, AT 5.00 P.M. ON TUESDAY 5 DECEMBER 2017

PRESENT

Panel Members:

Martin Bates, Mike Harris, Brent McNamara, Keith Newman, Aki Paipper, Maurice Smith, Tom Evers-Swindell, Paul Hursthouse, Bruce Meredith, Duncan Powell, Dave Wells, Connie Norgate, Peter Kay.

Facilitation Team:

Peter Beaven (Chair), Stephen Daysh, Simon Bendall, Monique Thomsen (Minutes), Aramanu Ropiha (Kaitiaki o te Roopu).

Observers:

Tom Belford, Mark Clews, Larry Dallimore, Graeme Hansen, Rod Heaps, Tania Huata, Ann Redstone, Dean Moriarty, Trudy Kilkolly, Paul Bailey, Craig Goodier.

Technical Advisors:

Emma Ryan, Judy Lawrence and Dr Paul Kench (Edge Research Team).

WELCOME AND KARAKIA

The Chairman welcomed those present to the final workshop of 2017 for the Southern panel. Aramanu Ropiha opened the meeting with a karakia.

APOLOGIES

Jamie Thompson, Te Kaha Hawaikirangi, Jagwinder Pannu, Mark Mahoney, Waylyn Tahuri-Whaipakanga.

Motion: That the apologies be accepted.

The motion was moved (Peter Kay), seconded (Tom Evers-Swindell) and carried.

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Minutes of the Workshop 10 were circulated prior to the meeting.

Motion: That the Minutes of Workshop 10 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

The motion was moved (Maurice Smith), seconded (Martin Bates) and carried.

Matters Arising

There were no matters arising.

Mr Bendall provided an overview of the agreed actions table and updated members on the progress, noting most actions had been completed and others were in the process of being completed. An updated action list is included at the end of these minutes.

Aki advised whilst on a bus tour recently between Havelock North and Clive she noticed there were a large amount of rocks between the Pakowhai and Whakatu Bridge area and suggested they could be recycled and used for coastal protection works in other areas.

Action: HBRC to investigate further.

GENERAL BUSINESS

1. The Chairman noted this was a large agenda and the focus would be to discuss, modify and accept the draft panel report.
2. Simon outlined the documents provided in the packs.

COMMUNITY DROP-IN SESSION – DEBRIEF AND REVIEW OF FEEDBACK

1. Simon provided an update on the feedback received at the drop-in session in Haumoana, it was noted there was not a large turn out from members of the public and this reflected in the small amount of feedback received.
2. Panel members expressed their thoughts on how the evening went; there was poor turn out from the community even though there had been a lot of publicity around the meeting and it was a beautiful evening, those who attended were engaged and found the information easy to follow, there were some panel members unable to attend the meeting, and the panel members provided positive comments regarding the content, layout and material presented.
3. It was noted that engaging the coastal community and wider community going forward would require some work. Recent headlines in the newspapers around the coastal project would have sparked interest and gauged the public's attention.
4. Mike Harris raised his concerns in regards to the options chosen and the effects they would have around the natural character with the use of hard engineering options. He also thought there was not enough detailed work undertaken on managed retreat and the intergenerational costs. He felt there was a strong argument for soft engineering options in the short term.

DISCUSS AND REVIEW DRAFT PANEL REPORT: CONFIRM PANEL RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONFIRM OTHER CONTENT AND STRUCTURE

1. Simon outlined the draft report and how it had been structured and sought feedback from the panels on the content and to confirm the recommendations.
2. Simon captured the changes and comments, and clarified queries the panels had. Some key feedback included:
 - The draft currently does not give the sense of urgency the Joint Committee and Panel were feeling, suggested further paragraphs to be added.
 - Councillor observers requested that Unit P 'Raymond Road – Tukituki' be changed to 'Parkhill'
 - The Panel requested that options called 'Control Structures' were changed to 'Groynes' going forward.
 - The supplementary recommendations were discussed and changes were made to Te Awanga and Haumoana.
 - Simon suggested that Mike Harris' earlier commentary around natural character and ecosystems be captured as an overarching recommendation and that he would work with Mike to develop the words.

Motion: That the Southern panel adopt the draft report, subject to the final changes discussed in the workshop 11.

The motion was moved (Tom Evers-Swindell), seconded (Keith Newman) and carried.

The meeting broke at 6.23 p.m. and resumed at 6.39 p.m.

3. Simon acknowledged an email received from Jamie Thompson (absent from the workshop) in regards to the H21 and Awatoto Unit and central government funding support. The panel discussed his email and agreed to include it into the draft report.
4. There were further discussions held which went into the finer details which would be worked through further in Stage 4.

H21 – DEVELOP AN AGREED APPROACH

1. Simon sought feedback from the panel on how to revisit the “Haumoana 21” which the panel had agreed to set aside in the option development phase but wished to revisit.
2. TAG proposed a workshop in the New Year dedicated to H21.
3. The workshop would focus on specific coastal processes at play and risks, potentially hear from the current landowners and decide how or if the Strategy could accommodate H21 into the process.
4. The panels agreed to hold the additional workshop and confirmed they wished the landowners to attend.
5. Noted this workshop to be prior to the Joint Committee meeting scheduled for 20 February 2018.

Action: TAG to contact the current H21 land owners to confirm interest and availability and identify date options for the workshop.

Action: Monique to circulate dates into diaries.

PRESENTATION: STAGE 3 AND 4 OF STRATEGY - PROCESS FORWARD AND NEXT STEPS

Closing out Stage 3

1. Simon outlined the process to date and the upcoming important dates for closing out Stage 3.
2. The TAG are working on an updated communications plan to close out Stage 3 and start Stage 4.
3. Simon advised a request had been made by a Joint Committee member to hold additional hui with various boards and marae to update iwi where the process is and to ‘close the loop’.

Action: TAG to work with iwi to hold a hui in late January 2018.

4. As discussed earlier the TAG would contact current landowners and schedule a workshop for the H21 prior to the Joint Committee meeting.
5. Simon sought feedback on how the panel would like to present their report to the Joint Committee, suggestions were; making a presentation, nominating one key member to present, present as a group. It was noted that the Joint Committee were interested in hearing from the panels and that was evident from the Joint Committee meeting earlier today where two members (1 from each of the panels) was present and provided feedback on the process.

Action: panels to decide and confirm with TAG how they wish to present their recommendations to the Joint Committee.

Action: Monique to send out invite to attend the Joint Committee meeting.

6. Simon sought feedback on having both panels getting together to sign the final report and to celebrate the conclusion of the process. A press release to be drafted and circulated to the panels to view prior to the get together and signing of the report.

Action: Monique to circulate a doodle poll with a range of dates for the get together in mid-February.

Stage 4

7. Simon outlined Stage 4 which is the Implementation Stage.

8. The Implementation Plan would refine the designs and the costings for the agreed pathways, identify and confirm the order and priority of work between each Unit, assign responsibilities and dates for delivery, and establish “triggers” to determine when to change paths (e.g. from short term to medium term response), to complement the 10 year reviews which would consider the overall strategic approach.
9. Judy raised that she was part of a Deep South Science Challenge looking to develop signals and triggers, this work would be developed and advanced next year, working with communities in different areas across the country. It would include some generic work on triggers, guidance on triggers and would dove-tail with the coastal work. She invited panel members to participate in the process, all panel members in attendance raised their hand to signal interest.
10. Simon provided an update on funding which was a key topic at the Joint Committee meeting, the Committee have formed a recommendation to Partner Councils to establish and commence contributions to a Contributory Fund, and have recommended \$300k / year be set aside for next 10 years (\$100k / Council) for Stage 4. It was noted that the capital works programmes would not be in draft LTP’s to be notified in March / April as the detail was not yet available, but that TAG were looking at options to confirm these costs ASAP, including looking at a special consultative process which could introduce new spending to the LTP programme before the next review in 3 years time. Panel members were interested in government support which the TAG and Joint Committee would be actively seeking.
11. Judy noted she is the co-chair of an MfE group which has a report going up to the Minister before Christmas noting funding was an issue raised and there was active interest from Treasury.
12. Joint Committee members who were present at the workshop provided an update from the Joint Committee meeting they attended earlier today.
13. Simon spoke of opportunities to expand the scope of the strategy to the entire Hawke’s Bay region as currently the Strategy focuses on the most populated stretch of coastline.

LIVING AT THE EDGE EVALUATION RESULTS WORKSHOP 2 - 9

1. Emma outlined the results from the Edge evaluation sheets between workshops 2 – 9. Overall panel members were happy with the process to date and the feedback was positive. There was a small difference between the Northern and Southern panels.
2. One member commented they felt someone was missing from the process; the wider community view and the Airport. Emma noted another set of interviews would be undertaken and they would include the Airport in that process.
3. For workshop 11 being the last workshop the evaluation form would be slightly longer.

PRESENTATION: GRAEME HANSEN (HBRC) AND STEPHEN DAYSH – UK TRIP

1. Graeme, Stephen and Judy Lawrence (the Edge) attended the Decision Making under Deep Uncertainty (DMDU) Society annual workshop held at Oxford University 13-15 November 2017, where they presented a poster and spoke on “Community Based Decision Making for Climate Change Adaptation in Hawke’s Bay, New Zealand”.
2. After the conference Graeme and Stephen visited a number of Eastern and Southern England towns which have been subject to erosion and inundation, they played a powerpoint presentation showing the different areas they visited and the hard and soft engineering options used; rock and timber groynes, offshore reefs, sea walls, rock work, and sand replenishment.

EDGE EVALUATION SHEET

1. Evaluation sheets were handed out, with a reminder that the survey was also available for on-line completion.

NEXT STEPS

1. The Chairman thanked the panel members for their commitment and contribution throughout the year and wished everyone a Merry Christmas.

Aramanu Ropiha closed the meeting with a karakia.

The meeting closed at 8.14 p.m.

AGREED ACTIONS:

Task	Meeting / Agenda Item	Actions	Resp.	Status/Comment
1.	Workshop 7, CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	Emma to circulate a copy of the report on the survey to the panel once available.	Emma / Edge	Draft report received 4 December 2017, currently being peer reviewed.
2.	Workshop 8, SCORING FOR TECHNICAL CRITERIA – 5, 6, 7. Natural Environment Impacts	Chairman to draft a letter from the Panel to HDC supporting HDCs application to HBRC for a rock revetment wall at Clifton.	TAG	Complete - letter submitted as a submission on behalf of Southern panel, copy provided.
3.	Workshop 8, SCORING FOR TECHNICAL CRITERIA – 5, 6, 7. Clive Coastal Unit	Cerasela Stancu to follow-up regarding Hohepa have signaled they propose to move from the area.	Simon	Ongoing conversation – to report back to Panel on any further update.
4.	Workshop 9, REVIEW OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA SCORING FOR ALL PATHWAYS	The panel agreed a letter should be prepared to go to both the HDC and HBRC outlining the urgent need for protection of public property and infrastructure at Cape View Corner essential to the community. Solid rocks and maintenance of the crest were suggested. Draft to be forwarded to the panel in the first instance.	TAG	Complete - recommendation added to Panel Report.
5.	Workshop 11, GENERAL	HBRC to investigate further the potential to utilise the rock noted by Aki.	TAG	In Progress to discuss further with Aki Paipper on the specific location of the rock.
6.	Workshop 11, H21 – DEVELOP AN AGREED APPROACH	Contact the current H21 land owners to confirm interest in a workshop and dates.	TAG	Completed.
7.	Workshop 11, H21 – DEVELOP AN AGREED APPROACH	Circulate dates into diaries for a workshop on H21.	TAG	Completed.
8.	Workshop 11, PRESENTATION: STAGE 3 AND 4	Feedback to Iwi through late January/ early February 2018.	TAG	Letters circulated to marae, PSGEs and Taiwhenua on 20 December 2017, no response received to date.
9.	Workshop 11, PRESENTATION: STAGE 3 AND 4	Panels to decide how they would like to present their report to the Joint Committee and confirm with TAG.	ALL	Confirmation sought at the celebration event on 14 February 2018.
10.	Workshop 11, PRESENTATION: STAGE 3 AND 4	Send out invite to panels to attend the Joint Committee meeting.	Monique	Completed.
11.	Workshop 11, PRESENTATION: STAGE 3 AND 4	Circulate a doodle poll with a range of dates for the get together in mid-February.	Monique	Completed.